



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,580	12/22/1999	STEVEN B. SOLOMON	067251.0104	6336

7590 11/20/2003

BAKER & BOTTS LLP
2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TX 752012980

EXAMINER

MYHRE, JAMES W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/470,580

Applicant(s)
Solomon et al

Examiner
James W. Myhre

Art Unit
3622



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W. Myhre

(3) _____

(2) Kurt Pankratz

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 19, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 4, and 5

Identification of prior art discussed:
Small (5,791,991) and Walker et al (6,330,544)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant Representative discussed how the references applied to Claim 1 and suggested combining the features of Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 together in order to better describe the invention. In particular, the Applicant Representative did not believe that the references disclosed presenting a plurality of disbursement offers tied to the store and to a rebate amount due to the customer. The Examiner indicated that he would need to review the amended claims and conduct further searches for these features.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

**JAMES W. MYHRE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3622**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required